Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 113, No. 2, May 2003, pp. 165–169.
Printed in India

arXiv:math/0310290v1 [math.CA] 18 Oct 2003

A note on absolute summability factors

H S ÖZARSLAN

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey
E-mail: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

MS received 25 March 2002; revised 11 March 2003

Abstract. In this paper, by using an almost increasing and δ-quasi-monotone sequence, a general theorem on $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$ summability factors, which generalizes a result of Bor [3] on $\varphi - |C,1|_k$ summability factors, has been proved under weaker and more general conditions.

Keywords. Absolute summability; almost increasing sequences.

1. Introduction

A sequence (b_n) of positive numbers is said to be δ-quasi-monotone, if $b_n \to 0$, $b_n > 0$ ultimately and $\Delta b_n \geq -\delta_n$, where (δ_n) is a sequence of positive numbers (see [3]). Let (φ_n) be a sequence of complex numbers and let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n). We denote by σ_n^α and t_n^α the nth Cesàro means of order α, with $\alpha > -1$, of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n), respectively, i.e.,

$$\sigma_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} s_v, \tag{1}$$

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \tag{2}$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = O(n^\alpha), \quad \alpha > -1, \quad A_0^\alpha = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-n}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0. \tag{3}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C,\alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha > -1$, if (see [6])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty, \tag{4}$$

and it is said to be summable $|C,\alpha;\beta|_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, if (see [7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta k+k-1} |\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta k-1} |t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty. \tag{5}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha > -1$, if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-k} |\varphi_n t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty. \tag{6}$$

In the special case when $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ (resp. $\varphi_n = n^{\beta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$) $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$ summability is the same as $|C,\alpha|_k$ (resp. $|C,\alpha;\beta|_k$) summability. Bor [4] has proved the following theorem for $\varphi - |C,1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem A. *Let (X_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and let (λ_n) be a sequence such that*

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} vX_v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

If there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the sequence $(n^{\varepsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$ is non-increasing and

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-k} |\varphi_v t_v|^k = O(X_n) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{9}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |C,1|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

2. The main result

The aim of this paper is to extend Theorem A, by using an almost increasing and δ-quasi monotone sequence, under weaker and more general conditions for $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$ summability. For this we need the concept of almost increasing sequence. A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be *almost increasing* if there exists a positive increasing sequence c_n and two positive constants A and B such that $A(c_n) \leq b_n \leq B(c_n)$ (see [1]). Obviously every increasing sequence is an almost increasing sequence, but the converse need not be true, as can be seen from the example $b_n = n\mathrm{e}^{(-1)^n}$. So we are weakening the hypotheses of the theorem in replacing the increasing sequence by an almost increasing sequence.

Now, we shall prove the following:

Theorem. *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence and the sequence (λ_n) such that condition (7) of Theorem A is satisfied. Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers (A_n) such that it is δ-quasi monotone with $\sum nA_nX_n$ convergent and $|\Delta\lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$ for all n. If there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the sequence $(n^{\varepsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$ is non-increasing and if the sequence (w_n^α), defined by (see [8])*

$$w_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} |t_n^\alpha|, & \alpha = 1 \\ \max_{1\leq v\leq n} |t_v^\alpha|, & 0 < \alpha < 1 \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k} (w_n^\alpha |\varphi_n|)^k = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty, \tag{11}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k\alpha + \varepsilon > 1$. We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 1. [5]. *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq v \leq n$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right| \leq \max_{1\leq m\leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{m} A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right|. \tag{12}$$

3. Proof of the theorem

Let (T_n^α), with $0<\alpha\le 1$, be the nth (C,α) mean of the sequence $(na_n\lambda_n)$. Then, by (2), we have

$$T_n^\alpha=\frac{1}{A_n^\alpha}\sum_{v=1}^{n}A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}va_v\lambda_v. \tag{13}$$

Using Abel's transformation, we get

$$T_n^\alpha=\frac{1}{A_n^\alpha}\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}\Delta\lambda_v\sum_{p=1}^{v}A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1}pa_p+\frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^\alpha}\sum_{v=1}^{n}A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}va_v,$$

so that making use of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_n^\alpha| &\le \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha}\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}|\Delta\lambda_v|\left|\sum_{p=1}^{v}A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1}pa_p\right|+\frac{|\lambda_n|}{A_n^\alpha}\left|\sum_{v=1}^{n}A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}va_v\right|\\
&\le \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha}\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha\,|\Delta\lambda_v|+|\lambda_n|\,w_n^\alpha\\
&= T_{n,1}^\alpha+T_{n,2}^\alpha,\quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|T_{n,1}^\alpha+T_{n,2}^\alpha|^k\le 2^k(|T_{n,1}^\alpha|^k+|T_{n,2}^\alpha|^k),$$

to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{-k}|\varphi_nT_{n,r}^\alpha|^k<\infty\quad\text{for}\quad r=1,2,\quad\text{by}\quad(6).$$

Now, when $k>1$, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k'}=1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1}n^{-k}|\varphi_nT_{n,1}^\alpha|^k &\le \sum_{n=2}^{m+1}n^{-k}(A_n^\alpha)^{-k}|\varphi_n|^k\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha\,|\Delta\lambda_v|\right\}^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=2}^{m+1}n^{-k}n^{-\alpha k}|\varphi_n|^k\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}v^{\alpha k}(w_v^\alpha)^k\,|A_v|\right\}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}|A_v|\right\}^{k-1}\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m}v^{\alpha k}(w_v^\alpha)^k\,|A_v|\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\frac{n^{-k}|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{\alpha k}}\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m}v^{\alpha k}(w_v^\alpha)^k\,|A_v|\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\frac{n^{\varepsilon-k}|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{\alpha k+\varepsilon}}\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m}v^{\alpha k}(w_v^\alpha)^k\,|A_v|\,v^{\varepsilon-k}|\varphi_v|^k\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1}\frac{1}{n^{\alpha k+\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{\alpha k}(w_v^{\alpha})^k\,|A_v|\,v^{\varepsilon-k}\,|\varphi_v|^k\int_v^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^{\alpha k+\varepsilon}}\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m} v\,|A_v|\,v^{-k}(w_v^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_v|)^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m-1}\Delta(v\,|A_v|)\sum_{r=1}^{v} r^{-k}(w_r^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_r|)^k\\
&\quad + O(1)m\,|A_m|\sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{-k}(w_v^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_v|)^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m-1}|\Delta(v\,|A_v|)|\,X_v + O(1)m\,|A_m|\,X_m\\
&= O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v\,|A_v|\,X_v + O(1)\sum_{v=1}^{m-1}(v+1)\,|A_{v+1}|\,X_v\\
&\quad + O(1)m\,|A_m|\,X_m = O(1)\quad\text{as}\quad m\to\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem.

Again, since $|\lambda_n| = O(1/X_n) = O(1)$, by (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k}\,|\varphi_n T_{n,2}^{\alpha}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^{m}|\lambda_n|^{k-1}|\lambda_n|\,n^{-k}(w_n^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_n|)^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m}|\lambda_n|\,n^{-k}(w_n^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_n|)^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}|\Delta\,|\lambda_n\|\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-k}(w_v^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_v|)^k\\
&\quad + O(1)\,|\lambda_m|\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k}(w_n^{\alpha}\,|\varphi_n|)^k\\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}|\Delta\lambda_n|\,X_n + O(1)\,|\lambda_m|\,X_m\\
&= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}|A_n|\,X_n + O(1)\,|\lambda_m|\,X_m\\
&= O(1)\quad\text{as}\quad m\to\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem.

Therefore, we get

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k}\,|\varphi_n T_{n,r}^{\alpha}|^k = O(1)\quad\text{as}\quad m\to\infty,\quad\text{for}\quad r=1,2.
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

If we take $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ (resp. $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n^{\beta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$), then we get a new result related to $|C,\alpha|_k$ (resp. $|C,\alpha;\beta|_k$) summability factors.

References

[1] Aljancic S and Arandelovic D, *O*-regularly varying functions, *Publ. Inst. Math.* **22** (1977) 5–22

[2] Balcı M, Absolute φ-summability factors, *Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara* $\mathbf{A_1}$**29** (1980) 63–80

[3] Boas R P, Quasi-positive sequences and trigonometric series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **A14** (1965) 38–46

[4] Bor H, Absolute summability factors, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **39** (1991) 419–422

[5] Bosanquet L S, A mean value theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1941) 146–148

[6] Flett T M, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1957) 113–141

[7] Flett T M, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1958) 357–387

[8] Pati T, The summability factors of infinite series, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954) 271–284